

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

AP	PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/544,891	08/05/2005	Detlef Gneuss	HM-654PCT	6481
	40570 7	7590 09/21/2006		EXAMINER	
•	FRIEDRICH KUEFFNER 317 MADISON AVENUE, SUITE 910			PASCHALL, MARK H	
	NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3742	
				DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			. (
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/544,891	GNEUSS, DETLEF	
O	ffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mark H. Paschall	3742	
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTE WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) I - If NO period f - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period willy within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, elived by the Office later than three months after the mailing the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) ☐ This a 3) ☐ Since	onsive to communication(s) filed onaction is FINAL . 2b) This this application is in condition for alloward in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of	Claims			
4a) Of 5)	n(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. If the above claim(s) is/are withdraven(s) is/are allowed. In(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. In(s) is/are objected to. In(s) is/are objected to. In(s) are subject to restriction and/or appers Independent of the examine prawing(s) filed on 05 August 2005 is/are: It is an in the case of the examine of t	r election requirement. r. a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected	•	
	cement drawing sheet(s) including the correct ath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
	35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Ackno a)⊠ All 1.⊠ 2.□ 3.□	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information I	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) /Mail Date <u>08-05-2005</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because black boxes 2,4,30,22,2',29',29,23 in Figures 1 and 2, should be labeled in accordance with their function. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anders (4,332,541) in view of EP (492,425). Anders teaches the claimed subject matter including pressure sensing to determine filter change, see column 3 line 49. Determination is made to change the filter, and not to control the process variables of the melt, as claimed. EP is applied for teaching that process parameters such as the extrusion speed can be changed in accordance with sensed temperature and pressure in the system, and use of the same leads to enhanced production. In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the Anders system with extrusion parameter control such as speed of the melt, in accordance with the pressure change, to further enhance the melt process such as production. Use of a controllable valve as per claim 3 is a matter of choice, in lieu of the speed change, well within the level of ordinary skill in the art. As per claim 6 the operator would find it inherent that the monitoring set forth would lead to quality management, as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/544,891

Art Unit: 3742

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wildman et al, Nilsson and Moylan are cited for disclosing extrusion systems of interest to the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H. Paschall whose telephone number is 571 272-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3742

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742 MHPaLL

Мр